ARIZONA PEACE OFFICER STANDARDS AND TRAINING BOARD (AZPOST) CFE BLOCK I PRACTICE TEST (SAMPLE)

STUDY GUIDE



SAMPLE STUDY GUIDE WITH LIMITED QUESTIONS

VISIT US ONLINE FOR THE FULL VERSION STUDY GUIDE

https://azpostcfeblock1.examzify.com

Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright 1

Table of Contents 2

Introduction 3

How to Use This Guide 4

Questions 5

Answers 8

Explanations 10

Next Steps 15

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

SAMPLE

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 – 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

SAMPLE

Questions

SAMPLE

1. According to A.R.S. §13-107, what is the time frame for prosecuting misdemeanors?

A. 7 years

B. 1 year

C. 6 months

D. 2 years

2. Which situation does NOT require a search warrant?

A. A hot pursuit of a fleeing suspect.

B. Search based solely on the officer's hunch.

C. A search of a vehicle for a missing person.

D. Responding to a noise complaint.

3. When can a mobile vehicle search be conducted without a warrant?

A. If probable cause exists that the vehicle contains evidence or contraband.

B. If the car is parked on private property.

C. If the officer sees someone acting suspiciously near the vehicle.

D. If the driver appears nervous during a traffic stop.

4. What is NOT considered when applying the 3-Prong Test for Reasonableness?

A. Officer's intent

B. Severity of the crime

C. Suspect's attempts to escape

D. Threat to officer safety

5. What action must officers take if they witness an ethics violation by a fellow officer?

A. Ignore it unless reported by others

B. Report it according to department policy

C. Discuss the matter among colleagues

D. Confront the officer directly

SAMPLE

6. What is one of the main purposes of A.R.S. §13-101?

A. To provide punishment for all acts

B. To define conduct that harms individuals or the public

C. To enhance public awareness of crime

D. To regulate civil matters

7. During what scenario can an officer be held liable for civil rights violations?

A. When off duty

B. When in plain clothes

C. If a victim reports misconduct

D. Only with excessive force

8. How is an arrest warrant related to probable cause?

A. An arrest warrant is not required if there is probable cause

B. Probable cause guarantees the issuance of an arrest warrant

C. Probable cause must be established to confirm an arrest warrant

D. Probable cause is irrelevant in the process of obtaining a warrant

9. What type of evidence may be seized without a specific warrant item if it is in plain view?

A. Items related to the suspect's identity

B. Contraband

C. Personal belongings

D. Documentary proof of innocence

10. Which statement best describes the concept of "totality of circumstances" in a use of force review?

A. Only the actions of the suspect are considered

B. Only the officer's training history is reviewed

C. It includes all relevant evidence and factors impacting the situation

D. It solely focuses on departmental policies

SAMPLE

Answers

SAMPLE

1. B
2. A
3. A
4. A
5. B
6. B
7. C
8. C
9. B
10. C

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. According to A.R.S. §13-107, what is the time frame for prosecuting misdemeanors?

A. 7 years

B. 1 year

C. 6 months

D. 2 years

The timeframe for prosecuting misdemeanors, according to A.R.S. §13-107, is set at one year. This statute establishes a clear and concise limitation period for bringing misdemeanor charges, reflecting the legislature's intent to promote timely prosecution. The reasoning behind this one-year limit is to ensure that cases are handled while evidence and witness memories are still fresh, thereby supporting the integrity of the judicial process. Misdemeanors are considered less serious offenses compared to felonies, which is why the limitation period is shorter than that for felonies. The emphasis on swift legal action for misdemeanors serves both the interests of justice and the rights of the accused, making it essential for law enforcement and prosecutors to act within this specified timeframe.

2. Which situation does NOT require a search warrant?

A. A hot pursuit of a fleeing suspect.

B. Search based solely on the officer's hunch.

C. A search of a vehicle for a missing person.

D. Responding to a noise complaint.

A situation involving a hot pursuit of a fleeing suspect does not require a search warrant due to exigent circumstances. In such cases, law enforcement officers are justified in entering a private space without a warrant to secure a suspect who poses an immediate threat to public safety or a risk of evidence destruction. The principle behind this is that the urgency of apprehending a suspect who is actively fleeing can outweigh the traditional requirement for a warrant. In legal terms, exigent circumstances apply when law enforcement has a compelling reason to act quickly to prevent harm, escape, or destruction of evidence. Thus, the immediate need to act in situations involving hot pursuit grants officers the authority to bypass the warrant requirement to ensure effective law enforcement action.

3. When can a mobile vehicle search be conducted without a warrant?

A. If probable cause exists that the vehicle contains evidence or contraband.

B. If the car is parked on private property.

C. If the officer sees someone acting suspiciously near the vehicle.

D. If the driver appears nervous during a traffic stop.

A mobile vehicle search can be conducted without a warrant if there is probable cause to believe that the vehicle contains evidence or contraband. This principle is rooted in the Fourth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, which protects against unreasonable searches and seizures. The rationale is that vehicles are inherently mobile, meaning that evidence could be easily removed or destroyed if an officer were to wait for a warrant. Probable cause must be based on facts or circumstances within the officer's knowledge that would lead a reasonable person to believe that a crime has been committed or that specific items connected to a crime are currently located in the vehicle. The other options do not sufficiently meet the legal standard required for a warrantless search. For instance, merely parking on private property does not negate the expectation of privacy or establish probable cause for a search. Similarly, just observing someone acting suspiciously near a vehicle or a driver's nervous demeanor during a traffic stop does not provide the factual basis needed to justify a search without a warrant. These scenarios may raise an officer's suspicion, but they do not equate to the strong belief necessary for probable cause.

SAMPLE

4. What is NOT considered when applying the 3-Prong Test for Reasonableness?

A. Officer's intent

B. Severity of the crime

C. Suspect's attempts to escape

D. Threat to officer safety

The reason that the officer's intent is not considered when applying the 3-Prong Test for Reasonableness is rooted in the standard established by the U.S. Supreme Court in Graham v. Connor. This test evaluates the use of force in a law enforcement context based on three primary factors: the severity of the crime at issue, whether the suspect posed an immediate threat to the safety of the officer or others, and whether the suspect was actively resisting arrest or attempting to evade arrest by flight. The focus of the test is on the circumstances surrounding the incident from the perspective of a reasonable officer at the time, rather than the officer's subjective state of mind or intentions. This emphasizes an objective standard rather than a subjective one, ensuring that officer actions are evaluated based on the immediacy and severity of the situation rather than personal motivations or intentions. In contrast, the other factors—severity of the crime, the suspect's attempts to escape, and threats to officer safety—are all critical in determining the appropriateness of the force used, as they directly relate to the perceived imminent danger and necessary response by the officer in that moment.

5. What action must officers take if they witness an ethics violation by a fellow officer?

A. Ignore it unless reported by others

B. Report it according to department policy

C. Discuss the matter among colleagues

D. Confront the officer directly

When officers witness an ethics violation by a fellow officer, they are required to report it according to department policy. This obligation is rooted in the principles of integrity, professionalism, and accountability that are essential in law enforcement. Reporting such violations ensures that misconduct is addressed appropriately and helps maintain public trust in the police force. Departments typically have specific procedures in place for reporting ethical breaches, which may involve notifying a supervisor or utilizing a designated reporting mechanism. This not only holds individuals accountable but also fosters a culture of transparency and ethical behavior within the department. Ensuring that all officers adhere to these standards helps protect the integrity of the police force and the community they serve.

6. What is one of the main purposes of A.R.S. §13-101?

A. To provide punishment for all acts

B. To define conduct that harms individuals or the public

C. To enhance public awareness of crime

D. To regulate civil matters

One of the main purposes of A.R.S. §13-101 is to define conduct that harms individuals or the public. This statute serves as a foundational element in Arizona's criminal law, outlining the types of behaviors that are considered criminal and may result in legal consequences. By specifying what constitutes harmful conduct, the law clarifies both the individual's responsibilities and the behaviors deemed unacceptable within society. This serves to protect the rights and safety of individuals and the community at large, helping to maintain order and establish clear legal standards. Defining harmful conduct is crucial for law enforcement, legal professionals, and the general public to understand what actions may lead to criminal charges and the types of protection the law offers.

SAMPLE

7. During what scenario can an officer be held liable for civil rights violations?

A. When off duty

B. When in plain clothes

C. If a victim reports misconduct

D. Only with excessive force

An officer can be held liable for civil rights violations when a victim reports misconduct because this scenario highlights the importance of accountability and the protection of individual rights. Civil rights violations can occur in various situations, and when a complaint is made by a victim, it may raise valid concerns about the officer's conduct, which can then be investigated. If there is credible evidence supporting the allegations, law enforcement agencies may take disciplinary actions against the officer, and civil suits may be filed by the victim. This situation underscores that officers do not have immunity from accountability and can be held responsible for their actions, especially when those actions infringe on an individual's rights. Proper mechanisms in place for victims to report misconduct are critical to maintaining the integrity of law enforcement agencies and ensuring that officers act within the boundaries of their authority and in compliance with civil rights laws.

8. How is an arrest warrant related to probable cause?

A. An arrest warrant is not required if there is probable cause

B. Probable cause guarantees the issuance of an arrest warrant

C. Probable cause must be established to confirm an arrest warrant

D. Probable cause is irrelevant in the process of obtaining a warrant

An arrest warrant is fundamentally linked to the concept of probable cause, as probable cause is necessary for a court to issue a warrant. This means that before a warrant can be granted, law enforcement officials must present sufficient facts or evidence that would lead a reasonable person to believe that a crime has been committed and that the individual named in the warrant is responsible for that crime. In essence, establishing probable cause is a critical step in the process of obtaining an arrest warrant. It ensures that there is a legitimate basis for the arrest, protecting individuals from arbitrary or unjust detainment. Courts require this legal threshold to prevent abuses of power and to uphold an individual's rights. Therefore, without probable cause, an arrest warrant cannot be lawfully issued. This highlights the importance of probable cause in balancing law enforcement duties with civil liberties.

SAMPLE

9. What type of evidence may be seized without a specific warrant item if it is in plain view?

A. Items related to the suspect's identity

B. Contraband

C. Personal belongings

D. Documentary proof of innocence

*The seizure of evidence under the plain view doctrine is a law enforcement principle that permits officers to confiscate items that are clearly visible without the need for a search warrant. This applies especially to contraband, which is defined as items that are illegal or prohibited by law. The key considerations for seizing contraband in plain view include: 1. **Lawful Presence**: The officer must be in a location where they have the legal right to be, such as during the execution of a lawful search or when responding to a call. 2. **Plain View**: The evidence must be immediately observable and recognized as contraband without any further intrusion into a private space. 3. **Incriminating Nature**: The nature of the item must be immediately apparent as being evidence of a crime or illegal substance. Contraband can include illegal drugs, stolen property, weapons, or any other items that would serve as evidence of a crime. This principle upholds the balance between the protection of individual rights and the need for law enforcement to effectively enforce the law. While items related to the suspect's identity, personal belongings, or documentary proof of innocence may also be observed, they do not typically carry the same immediate presumption of criminality or contr*

10. Which statement best describes the concept of "totality of circumstances" in a use of force review?

A. Only the actions of the suspect are considered

B. Only the officer's training history is reviewed

C. It includes all relevant evidence and factors impacting the situation

D. It solely focuses on departmental policies

The concept of "totality of circumstances" in a use of force review is best described by considering all relevant evidence and factors impacting the situation. This approach recognizes that each incident involves a variety of elements, including the actions of both the officer and the suspect, the environment in which the incident occurs, prior interactions, and any applicable laws or policies. By assessing the totality of circumstances, decision-makers can evaluate the reasonableness of an officer's actions in the context of the specific situation they faced, leading to a more fair and comprehensive analysis. This holistic perspective is crucial because it allows for an understanding that no single factor may determine whether the use of force was justified; rather, it is the combination of all factors that must be considered to arrive at a thoughtful and equitable conclusion.

SAMPLE

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

https://azpostcfeblock1.examzify.com

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE