BIOETHICS EXAM 2 PRACTICE (SAMPLE)

STUDY GUIDE



SAMPLE STUDY GUIDE WITH LIMITED QUESTIONS

VISIT US ONLINE FOR THE FULL VERSION STUDY GUIDE

https://bioethics2.examzify.com

Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright 1

Table of Contents 2

Introduction 3

How to Use This Guide 4

Questions 5

Answers 8

Explanations 10

Next Steps 15

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

SAMPLE

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 – 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

SAMPLE

Questions

SAMPLE

1. Who commonly assesses decision-making capacity in clinical practice?

A. Clinicians or ethics consultants.

B. Only the courts.

C. The patient's family.

D. The hospital administrator.

2. Which element is NOT described as part of extra protections for informed consent in vulnerable populations?

A. Simplified language

B. Independent consent advocates

C. Ongoing re-consent

D. Oversight to prevent coercion

3. What is fetal personhood?

A. A contested concept about when a fetus deserves moral/legal status; it shapes arguments on abortion, research, and maternal rights

B. An established contract between mother and fetus

C. A medical procedure

D. An attribute of the placenta

4. Blinding in a clinical trial reduces bias by concealing which information from participants about their assignment?

A. It eliminates the need for randomization

B. It eliminates bias by concealing treatment allocation

C. It increases bias

D. It has no effect on outcomes

5. Under the informed consent doctrine, when is it permissible for a physician to proceed without consent?

A. In emergency situations where the patient cannot provide consent

B. Routine checkups

C. Non-urgent elective procedures

D. When patient requests no information

SAMPLE

6. From an ethics standpoint, abortion policies should weigh which factors?

A. Autonomy

B. Fetal moral status considerations

C. Social justice

D. All of the above

7. Which statement best captures Canterbury v. Spence regarding disclosure adequacy?

A. The adequacy of disclosure should be judged by what the physician finds relevant to decision-making

B. The adequacy of disclosure should be judged by what the patient finds relevant to decision-making

C. The hospital's policy determines adequacy

D. Adequacy is based on the patient's ability to pay

8. The Willowbrook Hepatitis Study is known for which ethically troubling aspect?

A. It intentionally infected mentally disabled children with hepatitis to study a vaccine.

B. It involved only adult volunteers with informed consent.

C. It followed modern ethics oversight.

D. It avoided any harm or deception.

9. According to the Nuremberg Code, what is essential for ethical consent?

A. Consent is optional for medical research.

B. Informed consent is required only for researchers, not patients.

C. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential; informed consent is standard.

D. Consent is assumed in all cases.

10. Which argument emphasizes exploitation of women?

A. Womb-Renting

B. Exploits Women

C. Risky: Legally, Emotionally, and Financially

D. Baby Selling

SAMPLE

Answers

SAMPLE

1. A
2. A
3. A
4. B
5. A
6. D
7. B
8. A
9. C
10. B

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. Who commonly assesses decision-making capacity in clinical practice?

A. Clinicians or ethics consultants.

B. Only the courts.

C. The patient's family.

D. The hospital administrator.

Understanding capacity is about the patient's ability to understand information, appreciate the consequences, reason about options, and communicate a choice. In clinical practice, this assessment is done by the treating clinicians who know the medical context and the patient's ability to grasp the relevant facts. It's routinely evaluated during informed consent and before medical decisions. Ethics consultants may be involved to help navigate particularly tricky or value-laden cases, but the actual capacity judgment is made within the clinical team. Courts step in only in legal processes surrounding capacity, such as guardianship or formal competency determinations, not as part of everyday medical decisions. Families can contribute insights about the patient's values and preferences, but they do not perform formal capacity assessments. Hospital administrators do not assess capacity.

2. Which element is NOT described as part of extra protections for informed consent in vulnerable populations?

A. Simplified language

B. Independent consent advocates

C. Ongoing re-consent

D. Oversight to prevent coercion

Safeguards for vulnerable participants in informed consent focus on ensuring voluntariness and ongoing understanding when decision-making may be affected by power dynamics or impaired capacity. Independent consent advocates provide an objective perspective outside the research team to help assess risks and voluntariness. Ongoing re-consent ensures that consent remains informed if study conditions or personal circumstances change. Oversight to prevent coercion creates an external check against pressure or undue influence. Simplified language, while a valuable aid to comprehension for many participants, is a general communication best practice rather than a targeted extra safeguard specific to vulnerability. It supports understanding but isn't listed as one of the additional protections designed specifically for vulnerable populations.

3. What is fetal personhood?

A. A contested concept about when a fetus deserves moral/legal status; it shapes arguments on abortion, research, and maternal rights

B. An established contract between mother and fetus

C. A medical procedure

D. An attribute of the placenta

Fetal personhood is about whether a fetus is granted moral or legal status, and, if so, when that status begins. This is a debated area because different ethical, religious, and legal perspectives propose different thresholds—conception, viability, birth, or a gradual sense of personhood as development progresses. Those varying views influence how societies frame abortion restrictions, fetal research, and maternal rights, making the question central to many bioethics discussions. The best choice reflects that it's a contested question about when the fetus earns moral or legal status and that this status shapes policy and argumentation. It isn't an established contract between mother and fetus, nor a medical procedure, nor an attribute of the placenta, so those descriptions don't fit.

SAMPLE

4. Blinding in a clinical trial reduces bias by concealing which information from participants about their assignment?

A. It eliminates the need for randomization

B. It eliminates bias by concealing treatment allocation

C. It increases bias

D. It has no effect on outcomes

Blinding reduces bias by concealing which treatment a participant has been assigned from the participant themselves, preventing expectations about the treatment from shaping how they report symptoms, adhere to the regimen, or behave during the trial. When participants don't know whether they received the active intervention or a control, their perceptions and actions are less likely to be influenced by that knowledge, which helps keep comparisons between groups fair and the results more trustworthy. The other ideas don't fit because blinding isn't about eliminating randomization—randomization is a separate process that assigns participants to groups. Blinding doesn't increase bias; it reduces it. And blinding can affect outcomes by reducing biased influences, so saying it has no effect on outcomes isn't accurate.

5. Under the informed consent doctrine, when is it permissible for a physician to proceed without consent?

A. In emergency situations where the patient cannot provide consent

B. Routine checkups

C. Non-urgent elective procedures

D. When patient requests no information

In emergency situations where the patient cannot provide consent, physicians may proceed without explicit consent because of the emergency exception to informed consent. When someone is incapacitated and there isn't time to obtain consent or a surrogate, treatment is provided based on implied consent to prevent imminent death or serious harm. After the patient is stabilized, the clinician should inform them and obtain formal consent for ongoing care and document the emergency and the rationale for acting without consent. Routine checkups and non-urgent elective procedures require explicit consent, and a request for no information does not justify bypassing the consent process.

6. From an ethics standpoint, abortion policies should weigh which factors?

A. Autonomy

B. Fetal moral status considerations

C. Social justice

D. All of the above

Abortion policy ethics requires balancing multiple important dimensions: respecting a person's autonomy, considering the moral status and interests of the fetus, and addressing social justice issues that affect access and equity. Autonomy is central because policies should respect a person's right to make decisions about their own body, situation, and future. But autonomy alone doesn't resolve all ethical questions, especially where another potential being is involved or where societal factors shape access. Fetal moral status considerations bring in questions about whether and when a fetus has moral claims or interests that might constrain or weigh against a pregnant person's choices. This aspect matters for setting boundaries or exceptions, yet by itself it doesn't fully address the person's rights or the broader impact on society. Social justice matters ensure that policies don't disproportionately burden certain groups and that everyone has fair access to safe and legal care, including addressing disparities in resources, stigma, and geographic barriers. So, a comprehensive ethical approach to abortion policy weighs all of these factors, not just one in isolation.

SAMPLE

7. Which statement best captures Canterbury v. Spence regarding disclosure adequacy?

A. The adequacy of disclosure should be judged by what the physician finds relevant to decision-making

B. The adequacy of disclosure should be judged by what the patient finds relevant to decision-making

C. The hospital's policy determines adequacy

D. Adequacy is based on the patient's ability to pay

The key idea is that informed consent depends on what information a patient would want to know in order to make a decision about their own care. Canterbury v. Spence established the patient-centered approach: the adequacy of disclosure is judged by what a reasonable person in the patient's position would consider material to the decision. In other words, the doctor should reveal risks, alternatives, and uncertainties that this patient would deem relevant, shaping the information to the individual's needs and values. This emphasis on the patient's perspective contrasts with physician-centered or policy-based ideas. It's not about what the hospital policy says or what the physician personally thinks is decision-relevant, and it isn't about the patient's ability to pay. The correct view aligns with respecting patient autonomy by ensuring disclosure matches what the patient would find relevant to their own choices.

8. The Willowbrook Hepatitis Study is known for which ethically troubling aspect?

A. It intentionally infected mentally disabled children with hepatitis to study a vaccine.

B. It involved only adult volunteers with informed consent.

C. It followed modern ethics oversight.

D. It avoided any harm or deception.

The central issue is exploiting a vulnerable population by deliberately infecting children with hepatitis to study a vaccine, without proper informed consent and adequate protection. In the Willowbrook study, intellectually disabled children at a state institution were exposed to hepatitis to observe disease progression and test vaccines. The situation raised serious questions about autonomy, consent, and whether the benefits justified the risks when participants could not make independent choices and guardians or institutions controlled participation. It also highlighted how vulnerable groups can be exposed to research risks without fair safeguards, contributing to reforms in research ethics, such as stronger informed consent standards and independent oversight. The other options miss the key ethical problem: it did not involve only adults with consent, it did not have modern ethics oversight, and it did not avoid harm or deception.

SAMPLE

9. According to the Nuremberg Code, what is essential for ethical consent?

A. Consent is optional for medical research.

B. Informed consent is required only for researchers, not patients.

C. The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential; informed consent is standard.

D. Consent is assumed in all cases.

The essential idea is that participation in research must be voluntary and informed. The Nuremberg Code holds that the voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential, meaning people cannot be coerced, deceived, or pressured into joining a study. In addition, informed consent is the standard, so researchers must provide enough information about the study's purpose, procedures, risks, and potential benefits, and must ensure the person understands this information and can freely decide to participate or withdraw at any time. This protects autonomy and helps ensure the subject truly agrees to what will happen to them. Statements suggesting consent is optional, only applies to researchers, or is merely assumed do not fit the requirement for voluntary, informed agreement.

10. Which argument emphasizes exploitation of women?

A. Womb-Renting

B. Exploits Women

C. Risky: Legally, Emotionally, and Financially

D. Baby Selling

The argument that emphasizes exploitation of women is the one that explicitly states women are being exploited. It foregrounds concerns about coercion, economic pressure, and the commodification of reproductive labor, arguing that paying or pressuring women to carry a pregnancy treats their bodies as a means to others' ends rather than recognizing them as autonomous agents. This framing is central to critiques of surrogacy and similar arrangements because it directly targets power imbalances and vulnerability in how reproductive labor is arranged. The other options describe aspects of the practice or its consequences without making exploitation of women the primary claim. Womb-renting names the practice itself, risk highlights legal, emotional, and financial dangers, and baby selling centers on the commodification of the child rather than the exploitation of the woman's labor.

SAMPLE

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

https://bioethics2.examzify.com

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE