CHARITIES AND PRESSURE GROUPS PRACTICE TEST (SAMPLE)

STUDY GUIDE



SAMPLE STUDY GUIDE WITH LIMITED QUESTIONS

VISIT US ONLINE FOR THE FULL VERSION STUDY GUIDE

https://charitiespressuregrps.examzify.com

Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright 1

Table of Contents 2

Introduction 3

How to Use This Guide 4

Questions 5

Answers 8

Explanations 10

Next Steps 15

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

SAMPLE

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 – 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

SAMPLE

Questions

SAMPLE

1. How do restricted funds differ from unrestricted funds?

A. Restricted funds must be used for specified purposes and tracked separately; unrestricted funds can be used more flexibly.

B. Unrestricted funds require donor consent for use.

C. Restricted funds can be used for any purpose; unrestricted funds are restricted irrelevant.

D. Both types must be spent within one year.

2. The Ban the Box campaign primarily seeks to provide ex-offenders with:

A. A fair chance to be judged on their skills

B. A permanent ban from employment

C. Mandatory employment

D. No background checks

3. How might a charity decide whether a policy advocacy activity is allowed under charitable status?

A. Assess whether the activity advances the charity's purposes and does not amount to political campaigning.

B. Ensure the activity is always funded by government grants.

C. Allow any advocacy as long as it is not illegal.

D. Require board approval regardless of the aims.

4. Which group is explicitly included among the Howard League's primary beneficiaries?

A. Prisoners

B. Government officials

C. Private prison owners

D. International donors

5. What is the difference between a charity dissolution and a voluntary winding up?

A. Dissolution is the formal end of a charity's status overseen by the regulator; winding up refers to closing operations and distributing assets according to the charity's governing document.

B. Dissolution is the process of appointing trustees; winding up is the grant of charity status.

C. They are the same.

D. Winding up only occurs in the corporate sector.

SAMPLE

6. Which regulator oversees charities in England and Wales?

A. OSCR

B. Charity Commission

C. FCA

D. ICO

7. In evaluation, how does 'specialist knowledge' benefit charities?

A. It provides expert, targeted support for issues like resettlement or mental health.

B. It creates administrative inefficiency and delays.

C. It lowers credibility with stakeholders.

D. It replaces the need for generalist staff.

8. The effectiveness of pressure groups in prison policy is often demonstrated by which outcome?

A. Public pressure leading to revisions of prison practices.

B. A reduction in charitable activity.

C. Increased privatization of prisons.

D. Legal barriers preventing campaigns.

9. What happens to a charity's assets if it is wound up or dissolved?

A. Assets must be distributed to other charities with similar purposes or for the public benefit as dictated by the charity's governing document and law.

B. Assets go to private individuals.

C. Assets are returned to founders.

D. Assets are kept by the directors.

10. Differentiate between insider and outsider pressure groups.

A. Insider groups have access to policymakers and networks; outsider rely on public campaigns, media, and mobilization

B. Insider groups rely on mass mobilization; outsider have direct access to lawmakers

C. Insider groups are funded by government; outsider funded by private donors

D. Insider groups advocate broad issues; outsider advocate specific sections

SAMPLE

Answers

SAMPLE

1. A
2. A
3. A
4. A
5. A
6. D
7. A
8. A
9. A
10. A

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. How do restricted funds differ from unrestricted funds?

A. Restricted funds must be used for specified purposes and tracked separately; unrestricted funds can be used more flexibly.

B. Unrestricted funds require donor consent for use.

C. Restricted funds can be used for any purpose; unrestricted funds are restricted irrelevant.

D. Both types must be spent within one year.

Think about how donors shape what money is used for. Restricted funds are tied to specific purposes or programs by the donor, and they must be tracked separately in the charity's accounts to ensure those exact restrictions are honored. The restriction stays in place until the donor's conditions are met or the restriction expires, at which point any remaining amount can be used more flexibly. Unrestricted funds have no such donor-imposed limits, so they can be applied to general needs—whether program costs, administration, or other operations—within the charity's governance rules. That's why the best description is that restricted funds must be used for specified purposes and tracked separately, while unrestricted funds can be used more flexibly. The idea that unrestricted funds require donor consent for use isn't correct, because unrestricted gifts aren't bound by donor-imposed limits. Conversely, saying restricted funds can be used for any purpose contradicts what "restricted" means. And there isn't a universal rule that both types must be spent within one year; restrictions can be multi-year or even permanent, depending on donor terms.

2. The Ban the Box campaign primarily seeks to provide ex-offenders with:

A. A fair chance to be judged on their skills

B. A permanent ban from employment

C. Mandatory employment

D. No background checks

Ban the Box focuses on giving people with criminal records a fair chance by removing automatic barriers in the early hiring steps, so they can be considered first for their skills and qualifications. The idea is to judge candidates on what they can do for the job rather than condemning them for past mistakes at the outset. It isn't about permanently banning someone from employment, forcing employment, or eliminating background checks entirely; rather, it emphasizes not disqualifying someone before they've had a chance to demonstrate their abilities, with checks often occurring later if appropriate.

SAMPLE

3. How might a charity decide whether a policy advocacy activity is allowed under charitable status?

A. Assess whether the activity advances the charity's purposes and does not amount to political campaigning.

B. Ensure the activity is always funded by government grants.

C. Allow any advocacy as long as it is not illegal.

D. Require board approval regardless of the aims.

The key idea is whether a policy advocacy activity fits within what a charity is allowed to do by its charitable purposes and by rules about political campaigning. Charities can engage in advocacy on public policy if it directly supports their objectives and isn't driven by a broader political campaign. The test is therefore to check two things: does the activity advance the charity's purposes, and does it avoid amounting to political campaigning or the main aim of influencing party politics or elections? If the advocacy clearly furthers the charity's stated aims and benefits the public in a way compatible with its objects, it's generally permissible. On the other hand, if the activity becomes the primary goal of campaigning for political change or trying to influence elections or party policy, that could threaten charitable status. Other options miss the core point: funding from government grants isn't what determines permissibility, and allowing any advocacy simply because it's not illegal ignores alignment with charitable purposes and the prohibition on major political campaigning. Requiring board approval is about governance, not the fundamental test of whether the activity fits charitable status.

4. Which group is explicitly included among the Howard League's primary beneficiaries?

A. Prisoners

B. Government officials

C. Private prison owners

D. International donors

The main idea is identifying who a charity's work is meant to help most directly. The Howard League for Penal Reform designs its programs and advocacy to benefit those in the prison system and other people affected by imprisonment. By focusing on improving conditions, supporting reform, and reducing reliance on incarceration, prisoners are the group that receives the direct, intended benefits of its efforts. Government officials and private prison owners are not the charity's beneficiaries; they're policymakers or industry stakeholders who may be influenced by the charity's work, but not the recipients of its charitable activities. International donors fund or support the charity, but again they are benefactors, not the direct beneficiaries. So, prisoners are the explicit primary beneficiaries.

SAMPLE

5. What is the difference between a charity dissolution and a voluntary winding up?

A. Dissolution is the formal end of a charity's status overseen by the regulator; winding up refers to closing operations and distributing assets according to the charity's governing document.

B. Dissolution is the process of appointing trustees; winding up is the grant of charity status.

C. They are the same.

D. Winding up only occurs in the corporate sector.

The difference is between closing operations and ending the charity's legal existence. Winding up is the process of bringing the charity's affairs to a close: trustees wrap up activities, settle debts, and distribute any remaining assets in line with the charity's governing document (or applicable law). Once that winding-up process is complete, the regulator can formally dissolve the charity, removing its status and ending its legal existence. In short, winding up handles the close-out actions, and dissolution is the formal end of the charity as an entity. The other options don't fit because they mix up roles or describe unrelated steps.

6. Which regulator oversees charities in England and Wales?

A. OSCR

B. Charity Commission

C. FCA

D. ICO

In England and Wales, charities are overseen by the Charity Commission for England and Wales. This regulator is responsible for registering charities, setting governance and reporting standards, and taking action when charitable assets aren't used properly or public trust is at risk. They maintain the public Charity Register and provide guidance to trustees. Scotland has a separate regulator (OSCR). The ICO focuses on data protection and privacy, while the FCA regulates financial services. So the appropriate regulator for England and Wales is the Charity Commission for England and Wales.

7. In evaluation, how does 'specialist knowledge' benefit charities?

A. It provides expert, targeted support for issues like resettlement or mental health.

B. It creates administrative inefficiency and delays.

C. It lowers credibility with stakeholders.

D. It replaces the need for generalist staff.

Specialist knowledge matters in evaluation because it brings deep understanding of the specific issues a charity addresses, such as resettlement or mental health. With experts in the relevant field, evaluators can ask the right questions, choose meaningful indicators that truly reflect outcomes, and design data collection tools that measure what actually matters on the ground. This leads to findings that are accurate, relevant, and actionable, so programs can be improved where it makes the most difference. Having this expertise also signals credibility to funders and partners, because the evaluation is grounded in real, expert understanding of the issues, not just generic metrics. When specialist input is combined with broader organizational skills, it results in targeted interventions that address real needs rather than one-size-fits-all solutions. It does not inherently cause inefficiency or delay, nor does it undermine credibility or replace generalist staff; it enhances the quality and relevance of evaluation while complementing the broader team.

SAMPLE

8. The effectiveness of pressure groups in prison policy is often demonstrated by which outcome?

A. Public pressure leading to revisions of prison practices.

B. A reduction in charitable activity.

C. Increased privatization of prisons.

D. Legal barriers preventing campaigns.

The main idea here is that pressure groups prove their effectiveness when their campaigns lead to real changes in policy or practice. In prison policy, that shows up as public pressure prompting revisions to how prisons operate—things like updated welfare guidelines, safer disciplinary procedures, or expanded rehabilitation programs. When advocates raise concerns, bring evidence to light, and mobilize public opinion, decision-makers may respond by changing existing practices or introducing new ones, and that tangible shift is a clear sign of impact. Other outcomes don't demonstrate the same direct influence. A drop in charitable activity isn't about policy change driven by advocacy. Increased privatization is a specific policy direction that may or may not result from campaigns, but it isn't itself a universal marker of effectiveness. Legal barriers indicate obstacles to campaigning, which would hinder effectiveness rather than demonstrate it.

9. What happens to a charity's assets if it is wound up or dissolved?

A. Assets must be distributed to other charities with similar purposes or for the public benefit as dictated by the charity's governing document and law.

B. Assets go to private individuals.

C. Assets are returned to founders.

D. Assets are kept by the directors.

When a charity is wound up, its remaining assets must be used for charitable purposes rather than for private gain. The law requires those assets to be distributed to other charities with similar purposes or for the public benefit, in line with the charity's governing document and applicable law. This keeps the resources serving the public good even after the charity ends. The governing document may name specific recipients; if so, those directions are followed. If there are no specific beneficiaries, the assets should go to another charity with similar aims or to a fund for public benefit, or to the regulator to be used for public benefit. Assets may not be given to private individuals or to founders or directors.

10. Differentiate between insider and outsider pressure groups.

A. Insider groups have access to policymakers and networks; outsider rely on public campaigns, media, and mobilization

B. Insider groups rely on mass mobilization; outsider have direct access to lawmakers

C. Insider groups are funded by government; outsider funded by private donors

D. Insider groups advocate broad issues; outsider advocate specific sections

This question tests how pressure groups influence policy through different levels of access to decision-makers. Insider groups maintain regular, formal links with policymakers and policy networks, offering expert evidence and briefings that can shape draft legislation and government decisions. Outsider groups, lacking routine access, rely on public campaigns, media coverage, petitions, protests, and mobilizing supporters to influence public opinion and, in turn, put pressure on politicians who respond to electoral and reputational factors. So the distinction—insiders have access to policymakers and networks, outsiders depend on public campaigns, media, and mobilization—best captures the difference. In practice, some groups may blend tactics, but the core idea is this access versus pressure dynamic.

SAMPLE

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

https://charitiespressuregrps.examzify.com

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE