

CIVIL PROCEDURE – SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION PRACTICE TEST (SAMPLE) STUDY GUIDE



SAMPLE STUDY GUIDE WITH LIMITED QUESTIONS

**VISIT US ONLINE FOR
THE FULL VERSION STUDY GUIDE**

<https://civilproceduresubjectmatterjuris.examzify.com>



Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright	1
Table of Contents	2
Introduction	3
How to Use This Guide	4
Questions	5
Answers	8
Explanations	10
Next Steps	15

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 – 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

Questions

SAMPLE

- 1. How does the term 'arising under' apply to patent and copyright claims under §1338?**
 - A. They arise under state law
 - B. They arise under international law
 - C. They do not affect federal jurisdiction
 - D. They arise under federal law and are within federal-question jurisdiction
- 2. When evaluating subject-matter jurisdiction, what is the 'claim's syntax' test?**
 - A. It tests whether the claim itself raises a federal issue (federal-question jurisdiction) or whether a plaintiff pleads a federal issue as part of a state-law claim, focusing on the source of federal authority.
 - B. It analyses the grammatical structure of the complaint to determine jurisdiction.
 - C. It examines the damages pleaded.
 - D. It determines whether the defendant is a citizen of the forum state.
- 3. What is the purpose of 28 U.S.C. §1367 (supplemental jurisdiction)?**
 - A. It abolishes state-law claims in federal courts.
 - B. It allows removal of state-law claims to federal court.
 - C. It gives federal courts exclusive jurisdiction over all claims.
 - D. It allows federal courts to hear state-law claims that are part of the same case or controversy as a federal claim.
- 4. Under traditional diversity jurisdiction, which statement is true?**
 - A. No plaintiff may be a citizen of the same state as any defendant.
 - B. A plaintiff may be from the same state as a defendant if there are multiple defendants.
 - C. All plaintiffs must be from different states than all defendants.
 - D. Diversity is not required when there is a federal question.
- 5. Which of the following statements accurately reflects §1335 interpleader requirements?**
 - A. It requires more than 2 claimants
 - B. It requires minimal diversity and stake thresholds
 - C. It requires party diversity only
 - D. It doesn't involve a stakeholder

- 6. Which statement best describes removal to federal court under §1441?**
- A. Removal is allowed only if the plaintiff consents.
 - B. Removal is permitted when the case could have been filed in federal court originally, based on federal question or diversity.
 - C. Removal requires a trial in a federal court.
 - D. Removal can be requested after a judgment is entered.
- 7. If a state-law claim is preempted by federal law and the claim arises under federal law as a matter of preemption, this may support:**
- A. No federal jurisdiction.
 - B. Diversity jurisdiction only.
 - C. Federal-question jurisdiction.
 - D. State court jurisdiction only.
- 8. Which rule allows federal question jurisdiction to attach when a federal issue appears on the face of the complaint or arises out of the claim, even if raised as a defense or counterclaim?**
- A. Mottley rule
 - B. Osborn test
 - C. Grable standard
 - D. Well-pleaded complaint rule
- 9. What does 28 U.S.C. §1335 provide in class action interpleader?**
- A. Interpleader jurisdiction for property disputes with multiple claimants and a stakeholder
 - B. Jurisdiction based on complete diversity of parties
 - C. Authority to remove to federal court
 - D. Ability to sue in state court
- 10. What is the Mottley rule?**
- A. On the face of the plaintiff's well-pleaded complaint
 - B. In any part of the case including defenses
 - C. Only after discovery
 - D. In the answer of the defendant

Answers

SAMPLE

1. D
2. A
3. D
4. A
5. B
6. B
7. C
8. B
9. A
10. B

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. How does the term 'arising under' apply to patent and copyright claims under §1338?

- A. They arise under state law
- B. They arise under international law
- C. They do not affect federal jurisdiction

D. They arise under federal law and are within federal-question jurisdiction

The key idea is that these claims are governed by federal statutes, so they fall under federal-question jurisdiction. The term "arising under" is used to identify when a claim depends on or requires applying federal law. Patent and copyright laws are federal, and when a civil action asserts rights or violations under those statutes, the federal courts have original jurisdiction under §1338. That's why these claims arise under federal law and are inside federal-question jurisdiction, even if other state-law issues are present in the same case. The other options misstate this by tying the claims to state law, international law, or no effect on federal jurisdiction.

2. When evaluating subject-matter jurisdiction, what is the 'claim's syntax' test?

- A. It tests whether the claim itself raises a federal issue (federal-question jurisdiction) or whether a plaintiff pleads a federal issue as part of a state-law claim, focusing on the source of federal authority.**
- B. It analyses the grammatical structure of the complaint to determine jurisdiction.
- C. It examines the damages pleaded.
- D. It determines whether the defendant is a citizen of the forum state.

The key idea is the well-pleaded complaint rule for federal-question jurisdiction. The claim's syntax test asks whether the federal issue is raised by the plaintiff's own claim—i.e., the federal question appears on the face of the complaint—rather than being pleaded only as part of a state-law claim or as a defense. If the federal issue is an essential element of the plaintiff's claim, the case may arise under federal law and federal-question jurisdiction exists. If the federal issue would be raised only as a defense or as part of a state-law claim, there is no federal-question jurisdiction under this rule. This is about where the federal authority actually comes from in the plaintiff's pleaded claim, not about grammar, damages, or the defendant's citizenship.

3. What is the purpose of 28 U.S.C. §1367 (supplemental jurisdiction)?

- A. It abolishes state-law claims in federal courts.
- B. It allows removal of state-law claims to federal court.
- C. It gives federal courts exclusive jurisdiction over all claims.
- D. It allows federal courts to hear state-law claims that are part of the same case or controversy as a federal claim.**

Supplemental jurisdiction lets a federal court hear state-law claims that are part of the same case or controversy as a federal claim. When the federal court has original jurisdiction over a federal claim, 28 U.S.C. §1367 broadens that reach to include related state-law claims that share a common nucleus of operative facts with the federal claim. The idea is to keep related issues together in one proceeding rather than forcing separate lawsuits in state court, promoting efficiency and preventing inconsistent results. So the purpose is to allow the federal court to decide all related claims in one action, provided the state-law claims are sufficiently connected to the federal claim. This is not about abolishing state-law claims, nor about the basic mechanism of removal to federal court, nor about granting exclusive jurisdiction over all claims.

4. Under traditional diversity jurisdiction, which statement is true?

- A. No plaintiff may be a citizen of the same state as any defendant.**
- B. A plaintiff may be from the same state as a defendant if there are multiple defendants.
- C. All plaintiffs must be from different states than all defendants.
- D. Diversity is not required when there is a federal question.

Complete diversity requires that no plaintiff share a state of citizenship with any defendant. Under traditional diversity jurisdiction, federal courts must have complete diversity across all parties, so if any plaintiff is a citizen of the same state as any defendant, diversity is destroyed. That's why the statement that no plaintiff may be a citizen of the same state as any defendant is correct. Having multiple defendants does not cure a shared state, and while a federal question can create jurisdiction independent of diversity, that does not change the rule for traditional diversity jurisdiction.

5. Which of the following statements accurately reflects §1335 interpleader requirements?

- A. It requires more than 2 claimants
- B. It requires minimal diversity and stake thresholds**
- C. It requires party diversity only
- D. It doesn't involve a stakeholder

Statutory interpleader under 28 U.S.C. §1335 hinges on two elements for federal jurisdiction: minimal diversity among claimants, and a stake in the dispute of at least \$500. Minimal diversity means there are two or more claimants from different states, not that every claimant must be from a different state beyond two. The stake threshold requires the amount in controversy (the value of what is being claimed) to be at least \$500. The case is brought by the stakeholder who holds the disputed pot, seeking to resolve competing claims in one suit. This combination—diverse claimants and a sufficient stake—best captures the requirements. The other statements misstate aspects: interpleader does not require more than two claimants, it relies on both diversity and a stake amount, and it always involves a stakeholder.

6. Which statement best describes removal to federal court under §1441?

- A. Removal is allowed only if the plaintiff consents.
- B. Removal is permitted when the case could have been filed in federal court originally, based on federal question or diversity.**
- C. Removal requires a trial in a federal court.
- D. Removal can be requested after a judgment is entered.

Removal to federal court relies on whether the federal courts would have had original jurisdiction over the case. If the action could have been filed in federal court from the start, it may be removed. The two bases for that jurisdiction are federal question jurisdiction (the claim arises under federal law) and diversity jurisdiction (the parties on opposing sides are citizens of different states, with the amount in controversy meeting the statutory requirement). This captures the essence of §1441: a defendant may remove a case from state court only if federal court would have had original jurisdiction. It's not required that the plaintiff consent to removal, so that option isn't correct. Removal doesn't mandate a trial in federal court at the outset and, crucially, it must occur before judgment—so doing it after a judgment is entered isn't permissible.

7. If a state-law claim is preempted by federal law and the claim arises under federal law as a matter of preemption, this may support:

- A. No federal jurisdiction.
- B. Diversity jurisdiction only.
- C. Federal-question jurisdiction.**
- D. State court jurisdiction only.

Federal-question jurisdiction exists when a claim is based on federal law. Normally a federal defense—like preemption—does not by itself create federal jurisdiction. But when preemption actually makes the claim depend on federal law, the claim can be said to arise under federal law. Under the Grable framework, a state-law claim that is preempted by federal law involves a federal issue that is necessarily raised, substantial, and capable of resolution in federal court. Here, the federal law is what governs the claim's viability because the state claim cannot stand without applying federal preemption. That makes the claim arise under federal law, so federal-question jurisdiction is supported. The other options don't fit because diversity or exclusive state-court jurisdiction isn't triggered by the presence of a federally preempted claim, and no federal-question basis would ignore the federal-law centrality created by preemption.

8. Which rule allows federal question jurisdiction to attach when a federal issue appears on the face of the complaint or arises out of the claim, even if raised as a defense or counterclaim?

- A. Mottley rule
- B. Osborn test**
- C. Grable standard
- D. Well-pleaded complaint rule

The important idea here is when federal question jurisdiction can attach even if the federal issue isn't in the plaintiff's main claim, but shows up in defenses or counterclaims as part of the same case. This comes from the Osborn approach. The Osborn rule holds that a federal question can give rise to federal jurisdiction if the issue is present on the face of the plaintiff's complaint or arises out of the plaintiff's claim (including when the federal issue is raised in a defense or counterclaim and is essential to the dispute). In other words, the federal question need not be confined to the plaintiff's initial pleadings; if it is tied to the claim and would be decisive to relief, it can support jurisdiction. That's why this answer is correct: it captures the idea that federal jurisdiction can attach when a federal issue appears in the complaint or arises out of the claim, even if it shows up as a defense or counterclaim. The other rules fit different limits. The well-pleaded complaint rule would require the federal issue to be in the plaintiff's complaint itself, not arising only in a defense. The Mottley rule emphasizes that a federal question cannot be created by a federal defense or counterclaim in the absence of the plaintiff's own federal-based claim. Grable governs a narrow situation where a state-law claim raises a substantial federal question embedded in the claim, which is a different, more specific context.

9. What does 28 U.S.C. §1335 provide in class action interpleader?

- A. Interpleader jurisdiction for property disputes with multiple claimants and a stakeholder
- B. Jurisdiction based on complete diversity of parties
- C. Authority to remove to federal court
- D. Ability to sue in state court

The key idea is statutory interpleader under 28 U.S.C. §1335. This provision creates federal jurisdiction for interpleader when a stakeholder holds money or property and there are two or more claimants with adverse claims to that property. It allows a single federal case (often framed as a class-action interpleader) to resolve who among the claimants is entitled to the property, with the stakeholder deposited into the court. This requires that the claimants be from diverse states (diversity among claimants) and that the amount in controversy exceeds a statutory threshold (historically \$500). The stakeholder itself need not be diverse from all claimants, only that there are at least two claimants with conflicting claims to the property. So option describing interpleader jurisdiction for property disputes with multiple claimants and a stakeholder captures the essence of §1335. The other choices point to different concepts (general complete diversity, removal, or suing in state court) that do not describe what §1335 does.

10. What is the Mottley rule?

- A. On the face of the plaintiff's well-pleaded complaint
- B. In any part of the case including defenses
- C. Only after discovery
- D. In the answer of the defendant

The main idea here is the well-pleaded complaint rule for federal-question jurisdiction, from the Mottley line of cases. Under this rule, whether a case belongs in federal court for a federal question is determined by the face of the plaintiff's own complaint. If the plaintiff's complaint clearly presents a federal question, jurisdiction exists; if the federal issue only appears in defenses, counterclaims, or later stages of the case, it does not establish federal jurisdiction. So the correct understanding is that federal jurisdiction arises from what is stated in the plaintiff's complaint, not from defenses, later discovery, or the defendant's answer. The other scenarios—jurisdiction arising only from something the defendant asserts in defenses, or only after discovery, or only in the defendant's answer—do not by themselves satisfy the rule.

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

<https://civilproceduresubjectmatterjuris.examzify.com>

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE