HUMAN RESEARCH PROTECTION TRAINING PRACTICE EXAM (SAMPLE)

STUDY GUIDE



SAMPLE STUDY GUIDE WITH LIMITED QUESTIONS

VISIT US ONLINE FOR THE FULL VERSION STUDY GUIDE

https://humanresearchprottraining.examzify.com

Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright 1

Table of Contents 2

Introduction 3

How to Use This Guide 4

Questions 5

Answers 8

Explanations 10

Next Steps 16

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

SAMPLE

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 – 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

SAMPLE

Questions

SAMPLE

1. **What defines a minimal risk study in the context of human subjects research?**

   A. Risks are greater than those encountered in daily life.

   B. Risks are absent.

   C. Risks are no greater than those associated with routine exams.

   D. All risks must be eliminated.

2. **Research involving only specimens from deceased individuals is classified as human subjects research. Is this statement true or false?**

   A. True

   B. False

   C. It depends on the specimen type

   D. Only if the study affects living people

3. **Under what conditions can researchers enroll individuals who lack the capacity to consent?**

   A. When the research has direct benefits and safeguards are in place

   B. When no other participants are available

   C. When their guardians approve participation

   D. When the study is deemed of low risk

4. **What does "minimal risk" refer to in human research studies?**

   A. The study poses no risk to participants

   B. The likelihood and seriousness of harm are no greater than typical daily life

   C. The study only involves non-invasive procedures

   D. The study requires no supervision from an IRB

5. **Is it advisable for IRB written procedures to contain extensive details?**

   A. Yes, details are essential for clarity

   B. No, it's best for them to be broad

   C. Only if they relate to risk management

   D. Only if required by the Common Rule

SAMPLE

6. Who usually determines whether a research study is non-exempt human subjects research in an institution?

A. OHRP

B. IRB administrator

C. FDA

D. HRPP staff

7. What is "assent" in research involving minors?

A. A minor's consent obtained from parents

B. A minor's agreement after age-appropriate information

C. A minor's refusal to participate in research

D. A formal contract signed by minors

8. Informed consent must always be:

A. Obtained verbally

B. Documented in writing

C. Clear and understandable to participants

D. Reaffirmed at each research session

9. What constitutes an adverse event in the context of research?

A. Any positive outcome resulting from the research

B. Any occurrence that benefits a participant's health

C. Any unfavorable occurrence related to the research

D. Any event that occurs outside the research study

10. What is the importance of ongoing review in research?

A. To finalize the research findings

B. To ensure ethical standards are continuously met

C. To collect additional funding for the study

D. To decrease the time taken to complete the study

SAMPLE

Answers

SAMPLE

1. C
2. B
3. A
4. B
5. B
6. B
7. B
8. C
9. C
10. B

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. What defines a minimal risk study in the context of human subjects research?

A. Risks are greater than those encountered in daily life.

B. Risks are absent.

C. Risks are no greater than those associated with routine exams.

D. All risks must be eliminated.

A minimal risk study is defined by the level of risk it poses to participants compared to the risks they would encounter in their everyday lives. In this context, stating that the risks involved in the research are no greater than those associated with routine exams is key to understanding minimal risk. Routine exams typically have familiar risks that individuals regularly accept, such as slight discomfort or temporary anxiety, which do not go beyond what someone would normally experience in non-research situations. This definition is essential for ensuring that research can be ethical and that it appropriately safeguards participants' well-being. By establishing a benchmark based on everyday experiences, researchers and ethics boards can evaluate the acceptability of risks inherent in their studies, aiming to keep them within a familiar and manageable scope for participants. When considering other possibilities, risks greater than those encountered in daily life would categorize a study as higher risk and would require more stringent ethical oversight. Similarly, stating that risks are absent altogether would undermine the reality of human research, where some level of risk is invariably present. Lastly, the idea that all risks must be eliminated is unrealistic, as it disregards the inherent uncertainties involved in research; rather, the focus is on minimizing these risks to acceptable levels.

2. Research involving only specimens from deceased individuals is classified as human subjects research. Is this statement true or false?

A. True

B. False

C. It depends on the specimen type

D. Only if the study affects living people

The statement is false because research involving only specimens from deceased individuals does not meet the definition of human subjects research as outlined in federal regulations. Human subjects research specifically refers to activities that involve living individuals from whom data is collected through intervention or interaction, or from whom identifiable private information is obtained. Since specimens taken from deceased individuals do not involve living subjects, this type of research does not fall under the protections established for human subjects. While there may be ethical considerations in the use of such specimens, they do not trigger the same protections concerning informed consent and review by an Institutional Review Board (IRB) that are applicable to living subjects. Hence, the classification of research involving only deceased specimens as human subjects research is incorrect.

SAMPLE

3. Under what conditions can researchers enroll individuals who lack the capacity to consent?

A. When the research has direct benefits and safeguards are in place

B. When no other participants are available

C. When their guardians approve participation

D. When the study is deemed of low risk

Enrolling individuals who lack the capacity to consent requires careful consideration of ethical and regulatory guidelines. When there are direct benefits to the research participants, and appropriate safeguards are established to protect their welfare, it creates a situation where their enrollment can be justified. This is rooted in the ethical principle of beneficence, which emphasizes the importance of maximally promoting well-being and minimizing harm. In circumstances where the research provides direct benefits to the participants, researchers are more likely to justify the inclusion of those unable to provide consent themselves. Safeguards may include oversight by an ethics review board, informed consent from legal guardians or surrogate decision-makers, and continuous monitoring of the participants' welfare throughout the study. While obtaining approval from guardians may be a part of the process, it does not, by itself, ensure that the ethical standards are met for the protection of individuals lacking capacity. Similarly, claiming that no other participants are available or deeming a study low risk are insufficient grounds for enrolling individuals who cannot consent, as these options do not adequately consider the potential impacts on the participants' rights and welfare. The combination of direct benefits and appropriate safeguards ensures that participation is both ethical and responsible.

4. What does "minimal risk" refer to in human research studies?

A. The study poses no risk to participants

B. The likelihood and seriousness of harm are no greater than typical daily life

C. The study only involves non-invasive procedures

D. The study requires no supervision from an IRB

"Minimal risk" in human research studies refers to situations where the likelihood and seriousness of potential harm or discomfort to participants are no greater than what they would encounter in their daily lives. This concept is essential in ethical research practices as it helps determine the level of oversight and regulatory requirements for a study. When the risks are considered minimal, it indicates that while there might be some potential risks involved, they are minor and do not exceed what individuals might typically face during daily activities such as routine physical or psychological challenges. Thus, the risk assessment focuses on both the probability and the intensity of possible negative outcomes. Other options present considerations that do not accurately reflect the definition of minimal risk. The assertion that a study poses no risk to participants implies a total absence of risk, which does not hold true, as even the most benign studies may entail some level of risk. The idea that minimal risk equates solely to non-invasive procedures overlooks studies that might present minimal risk through other means. Lastly, the claim that minimal risk studies require no oversight from an IRB is incorrect, as institutional review boards (IRBs) still play a critical role in ensuring that ethical considerations are upheld, even in studies assessed as minimal risk.

SAMPLE

5. Is it advisable for IRB written procedures to contain extensive details?

A. Yes, details are essential for clarity

B. No, it's best for them to be broad

C. Only if they relate to risk management

D. Only if required by the Common Rule

When considering whether IRB written procedures should be broad rather than extensive, it is important to recognize that flexibility can be beneficial in the context of research oversight. Written procedures that are overly detailed may limit the ability of the IRB to adapt to new situations or changing regulatory environments. A broad approach allows the IRB to have sufficient framework to evaluate research studies while maintaining the flexibility necessary to apply its judgment based on the particulars of each study presented for review. Additionally, having less prescriptive procedures encourages a more dynamic and responsive review process. It allows IRB members to focus on the ethical considerations and specific risks of each proposed study without being constrained by overly detailed procedural mandates. This approach aligns with the emphasis on protecting human subjects while allowing the review process to evolve as necessary in response to new issues or methodologies. While clarity is important, it should not come at the expense of adaptability. In many cases, regulatory bodies recognize that providing broad guidelines strikes a better balance between oversight and the practical realities of conducting research. This is why adopting broader procedures is generally seen as the advisable practice for IRB governance.

6. Who usually determines whether a research study is non-exempt human subjects research in an institution?

A. OHRP

B. IRB administrator

C. FDA

D. HRPP staff

In the context of human subjects research, the determination of whether a study is non-exempt typically falls within the responsibilities of the Institutional Review Board (IRB) administrator. The IRB administrator is essential in managing the review process and ensuring that research studies comply with ethical guidelines and federal regulations related to human subjects. The IRB administrator has the expertise and knowledge required to evaluate research proposals against established criteria for exemption and non-exemption. This process involves assessing whether the proposed research involves human subjects and determining if it meets the criteria for exemption or if it requires a more rigorous review process. While the Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP) and the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) set regulations and guidelines that govern human research, they do not directly determine the status of individual studies within institutions. Similarly, the Human Research Protection Program (HRPP) staff may support the IRB administrator, but the ultimate responsibility for the determination rests with the IRB administrator. This role is critical for the protection of research participants and maintaining compliance with ethical standards in research practices.

SAMPLE

7. What is "assent" in research involving minors?

A. A minor's consent obtained from parents

B. A minor's agreement after age-appropriate information

C. A minor's refusal to participate in research

D. A formal contract signed by minors

In research involving minors, "assent" refers to a minor's agreement to participate in research after being provided with age-appropriate information. This concept acknowledges that while minors may not have the legal capacity to provide full informed consent on their own, they still deserve the opportunity to understand the research and express their willingness to participate. The process of obtaining assent involves communicating in a way that is understandable for the minor, ensuring that they can grasp the basic elements of the study, including what it is about, any potential risks, and their right to withdraw at any time. This ethical practice respects the autonomy of the minor and engages them in the decision-making process regarding their involvement in research. In contrast, the other options do not accurately define assent. For instance, consent obtained from parents is related to parental permission rather than the minor's personal agreement. A minor's refusal to participate does not align with the concept of assent, as it specifically pertains to agreement rather than dissent. Lastly, a formal contract signed by minors is not a common practice in research ethics, as it typically involves legal implications that go beyond the concept of assent in research contexts.

8. Informed consent must always be:

A. Obtained verbally

B. Documented in writing

C. Clear and understandable to participants

D. Reaffirmed at each research session

Informed consent is a fundamental principle in research ethics that ensures participants are fully aware of what their involvement in a study entails, including any risks, benefits, and procedures involved. The correct answer emphasizes that informed consent must be clear and understandable to participants. This clarity is critical for ensuring that individuals can make informed decisions about whether to participate in research. When consent is clear and understandable, it respects the autonomy of the participants and allows them to comprehend the implications of their participation fully. This includes understanding the nature of the research, the extent of their involvement, any associated risks, and their right to withdraw from the study at any time without any penalty. If the information is too technical or complex, or if it is not presented in a language or manner comprehensible to the participants, the essence of informed consent is undermined. Therefore, clarity and comprehensibility are essential components of a valid informed consent process. The other options do address aspects related to informed consent, but they lack the fundamental necessity of clarity and understanding in the consent process. For example, while documenting consent in writing can be important in many situations, it is not universally required, especially in certain low-risk studies or where verbal consent is acceptable. Similarly, reaffirming consent at each session

SAMPLE

9. What constitutes an adverse event in the context of research?

A. Any positive outcome resulting from the research

B. Any occurrence that benefits a participant's health

C. Any unfavorable occurrence related to the research

D. Any event that occurs outside the research study

An adverse event in the context of research is defined as any unfavorable occurrence that is associated with the research activities or the participation of individuals in a study. This can include a range of negative outcomes, such as physical injury, psychological distress, or other health-related issues that arise during the research process. Adverse events are crucial to monitor because they can impact participants' well-being, the integrity of the data, and the ethical considerations of the research. In research ethics, safeguarding participants is a paramount concern, and recognizing adverse events is essential for ensuring their safety and for adhering to ethical guidelines. By identifying adverse events, researchers can take appropriate measures to mitigate risks and provide necessary support to participants. This understanding helps maintain trust in the research process and contributes to the overall protection of human subjects in research. Other options do not align with the definition of adverse events. Positive outcomes or benefits to a participant's health would not be considered adverse events but instead signify favorable results of the research. Events that occur outside of the research study are also not relevant to the definition, as adverse events are specifically tied to the research context and its direct effects on participants.

10. What is the importance of ongoing review in research?

A. To finalize the research findings

B. To ensure ethical standards are continuously met

C. To collect additional funding for the study

D. To decrease the time taken to complete the study

The importance of ongoing review in research is fundamentally tied to the necessity of ensuring that ethical standards are continuously upheld throughout the duration of the study. Ongoing review facilitates the ability to monitor the research process, thereby ensuring that participant welfare, data integrity, and adherence to ethical guidelines remain a priority from start to finish. Regular reviews allow for the identification of any potential issues or changes in the research environment, which may affect the ethical considerations. For instance, if new risks to participants emerge or if the understanding of a condition evolves, the ongoing review process ensures that these factors are addressed proactively. This continuous oversight is crucial in maintaining the trust of participants and the integrity of the research. In contrast, finalizing research findings typically occurs at the conclusion of a study rather than during its course, making it less relevant to the idea of ongoing review. Collecting additional funding may be a component of research management, but it is not inherently tied to ethical oversight. Lastly, while decreasing the time taken to complete the study could be a benefit of efficient management practices, it does not reflect the primary purpose of ensuring ethical standards are consistently met throughout the research process. Therefore, the emphasis on maintaining ethical standards through ongoing review is vital to the credibility and ethics of research practices.

SAMPLE

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

https://humanresearchprottraining.examzify.com

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE