TENNESSEE LAW ENFORCEMENT TRAINING ACADEMY (TLETA) WEEK 2 PRACTICE TEST (SAMPLE)

STUDY GUIDE



SAMPLE STUDY GUIDE WITH LIMITED QUESTIONS

VISIT US ONLINE FOR THE FULL VERSION STUDY GUIDE

https://tletaweek2.examzify.com

Copyright © 2026 by Examzify - A Kaluba Technologies Inc. product.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

No part of this book may be reproduced or transferred in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, web distribution, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the author.

Notice: Examzify makes every reasonable effort to obtain accurate, complete, and timely information about this product from reliable sources.

SAMPLE

Table of Contents

Copyright 1

Table of Contents 2

Introduction 3

How to Use This Guide 4

Questions 5

Answers 8

Explanations 10

Next Steps 15

SAMPLE

Introduction

Preparing for a certification exam can feel overwhelming, but with the right tools, it becomes an opportunity to build confidence, sharpen your skills, and move one step closer to your goals. At Examzify, we believe that effective exam preparation isn't just about memorization, it's about understanding the material, identifying knowledge gaps, and building the test-taking strategies that lead to success.

This guide was designed to help you do exactly that.

Whether you're preparing for a licensing exam, professional certification, or entry-level qualification, this book offers structured practice to reinforce key concepts. You'll find a wide range of multiple-choice questions, each followed by clear explanations to help you understand not just the right answer, but why it's correct.

The content in this guide is based on real-world exam objectives and aligned with the types of questions and topics commonly found on official tests. It's ideal for learners who want to:

- Practice answering questions under realistic conditions,
- Improve accuracy and speed,
- Review explanations to strengthen weak areas, and
- Approach the exam with greater confidence.

We recommend using this book not as a stand-alone study tool, but alongside other resources like flashcards, textbooks, or hands-on training. For best results, we recommend working through each question, reflecting on the explanation provided, and revisiting the topics that challenge you most.

Remember: successful test preparation isn't about getting every question right the first time, it's about learning from your mistakes and improving over time. Stay focused, trust the process, and know that every page you turn brings you closer to success.

Let's begin.

SAMPLE

How to Use This Guide

This guide is designed to help you study more effectively and approach your exam with confidence. Whether you're reviewing for the first time or doing a final refresh, here's how to get the most out of your Examzify study guide:

1. Start with a Diagnostic Review

Skim through the questions to get a sense of what you know and what you need to focus on. Your goal is to identify knowledge gaps early.

2. Study in Short, Focused Sessions

Break your study time into manageable blocks (e.g. 30 – 45 minutes). Review a handful of questions, reflect on the explanations.

3. Learn from the Explanations

After answering a question, always read the explanation, even if you got it right. It reinforces key points, corrects misunderstandings, and teaches subtle distinctions between similar answers.

4. Track Your Progress

Use bookmarks or notes (if reading digitally) to mark difficult questions. Revisit these regularly and track improvements over time.

5. Simulate the Real Exam

Once you're comfortable, try taking a full set of questions without pausing. Set a timer and simulate test-day conditions to build confidence and time management skills.

6. Repeat and Review

Don't just study once, repetition builds retention. Re-attempt questions after a few days and revisit explanations to reinforce learning. Pair this guide with other Examzify tools like flashcards, and digital practice tests to strengthen your preparation across formats.

There's no single right way to study, but consistent, thoughtful effort always wins. Use this guide flexibly, adapt the tips above to fit your pace and learning style. You've got this!

SAMPLE

Questions

SAMPLE

1. Where should an Ex-Parte order be filed?

A. Where the respondent resides

B. Where the victim resides

C. The court's clerk

D. The police station

2. The Glick Test is used to evaluate the rights of which group?

A. Free Persons

B. Pre-Trial Detainees

C. Convicted Persons

D. Juveniles

3. Which practice best improves the clarity of a police report?

A. Avoid specifics

B. Vague language

C. Use specifics

D. Include unrelated details

4. Under the objective reasonableness standard for reviewing an officer's use of force, which information does the court consider?

A. The suspect's prior criminal history

B. The department's use-of-force policy only

C. The facts known to the officer during the use of the force

D. The officer's training level

5. Which of the following is one of the three ways a suspect can be questioned after invoking Miranda rights?

A. A break in custody (more than 14 days)

B. The suspect is questioned by the court

C. They have an attorney with them and agree to talk.

D. Suspect initiates contact with LEO (re-mirandize)

SAMPLE

6. What are the four qualities of a good report?

A. Clarity, Brevity, Accuracy, Completeness

B. Detail, Speed, Confidentiality, Neutrality

C. Length, Formality, Precision, Readability

D. Efficiency, Thorough, Professional, and Objective

7. Tennessee v. Garner (1985) established that shooting a suspect is a seizure under which amendment?

A. The Fourth Amendment

B. The First Amendment

C. The Sixth Amendment

D. The Eighth Amendment

8. What does 'Dual Arrest' require law enforcement to ensure?

A. The legality of self-defense

B. The arrestee confessed to a crime

C. The presence of a weapon at scene

D. The victim was uninjured

9. Aristotle is described as the philosopher who introduced ethos as one of the three modes of persuasion.

A. A Greek general

B. The author of The Prince

C. The father of modern science

D. The philosopher who introduced the concept of ethos as one of the three modes of persuasion in rhetoric

10. The 'Dust 'em off rule' serves to enhance what?

A. Transparency

B. Legitimacy

C. Accountability

D. Efficiency

SAMPLE

Answers

SAMPLE

1. A
2. C
3. C
4. C
5. C
6. D
7. A
8. A
9. D
10. B

SAMPLE

Explanations

SAMPLE

1. Where should an Ex-Parte order be filed?

A. Where the respondent resides

B. Where the victim resides

C. The court's clerk

D. The police station

Ex parte orders are temporary protective measures issued without the respondent's participation, intended to provide immediate safety while a full hearing is arranged. The filing should occur in the court that has proper jurisdiction over the person the order will bind, which is typically the county where the respondent resides. This jurisdiction ensures the court can legally issue the order against the respondent and coordinate swift service through the local authorities. Filing in the respondent's residence county also helps ensure the temporary order is enforceable statewide and that a timely hearing can be set. Filing elsewhere, such as merely at the victim's location or a police station, would not establish the necessary legal authority over the respondent.

2. The Glick Test is used to evaluate the rights of which group?

A. Free Persons

B. Pre-Trial Detainees

C. Convicted Persons

D. Juveniles

The Glick Test focuses on the rights of people who have been convicted. Once someone is convicted, certain liberties and protections are still theirs, but others may be restricted because of the sentence or confinement. The test helps determine which rights continue to apply to a convicted person in various situations, distinguishing them from free persons, pre-trial detainees, or juveniles, who have different rights or levels of protection. In practice, this means understanding what constitutional protections still govern a person after conviction and how that affects how they are treated in custody, during punishment, or in accessing certain opportunities.

3. Which practice best improves the clarity of a police report?

A. Avoid specifics

B. Vague language

C. Use specifics

D. Include unrelated details

Precision in a police report is what makes it clear. When you include exact times, dates, locations, and concrete descriptions of people, vehicles, and actions, readers can picture what happened and verify details quickly. Specifics help establish a reliable timeline, support statements with concrete evidence, and reduce misunderstandings that could impair the investigation or testimony. Vague language, generalities, or adding unrelated details only muddy the account and create opportunities for misinterpretation or challenge in court. Therefore, using specifics is the best practice to improve the clarity of a police report.

SAMPLE

4. Under the objective reasonableness standard for reviewing an officer's use of force, which information does the court consider?

A. The suspect's prior criminal history

B. The department's use-of-force policy only

C. The facts known to the officer during the use of the force

D. The officer's training level

Reasonableness is judged from the officer's perspective on the scene, at the moment the force is used. The court asks what a reasonable officer with similar training and experience would have believed and perceived given the information actually available to the officer at the time. That means the key factor is the facts known to the officer during the incident—the threat level, actions of the suspect, whether a weapon appeared to be present, and how the situation unfolded under stress. Later information or outcomes aren't the basis for the initial reasonableness decision. The suspect's prior criminal history doesn't reflect the immediate danger perceived on scene. A department's policy can influence considerations, but it doesn't replace the on-scene information the officer relied upon. Training matters only insofar as it informs what a reasonable officer would know in that situation. In short, the information evaluated is what the officer actually knew at the time of the force.

5. Which of the following is one of the three ways a suspect can be questioned after invoking Miranda rights?

A. A break in custody (more than 14 days)

B. The suspect is questioned by the court

C. They have an attorney with them and agree to talk.

D. Suspect initiates contact with LEO (re-mirandize)

After a suspect invokes Miranda rights, interrogation can only resume in a few limited ways. One valid path is when the suspect is with an attorney and agrees to talk. The presence of counsel signals that the suspect is permitted to discuss the case with the authority, provided the suspect knowingly waives the rights again and the officers ensure the waiver is voluntary. This is why this option is the best fit: it reflects a legitimate, court-recognized route for resuming questioning after rights have been invoked. The other scenarios don't fit as straightforwardly. A break in custody can lead to re-interrogation only under specific procedural conditions and isn't by itself one of the direct, standard mechanisms for continuing questioning. Being questioned by the court isn't how police interrogation typically resumes in this context. And while a suspect initiating contact with law enforcement can lead to re-mirandizing, that describes a different path (the suspect taking the initiative) rather than the attorney-present, voluntary-waiver scenario.

SAMPLE

6. What are the four qualities of a good report?

A. Clarity, Brevity, Accuracy, Completeness

B. Detail, Speed, Confidentiality, Neutrality

C. Length, Formality, Precision, Readability

D. Efficiency, Thorough, Professional, and Objective

Good report writing serves its purpose by delivering facts clearly, efficiently, and without bias, while maintaining professional standards. The best choice reflects four interrelated qualities: Efficiency, which keeps the report concise and timely so readers get what they need without unnecessary fluff; Thoroughness, which ensures all relevant facts are included—dates, times, places, names, and context—so nothing essential is missing; Professionalism, which covers appropriate tone, formatting, and adherence to department standards so the document is credible and easy to review; and Objectivity, which sticks to verifiable facts and avoids speculation or personal opinions, making the report reliable for colleagues, supervisors, and legal proceedings. The other options emphasize individual aspects like clarity or readability or focus on pace or formality, but they don't collectively capture the combination of concise delivery, complete factual content, professional presentation, and unbiased reporting that characterizes a good report.

7. Tennessee v. Garner (1985) established that shooting a suspect is a seizure under which amendment?

A. The Fourth Amendment

B. The First Amendment

C. The Sixth Amendment

D. The Eighth Amendment

The main concept is how the Fourth Amendment governs police use of deadly force as a seizure. Shooting a suspect to stop them is a seizure because it restrains their liberty, so it falls under the Fourth Amendment's protection against unreasonable seizures. Tennessee v. Garner clarifies that deadly force to prevent the escape of a fleeing suspect is not automatically allowed; it must be reasonable under the circumstances. Specifically, such force is permissible only if the officer has probable cause to believe the suspect poses a significant threat of death or serious bodily harm to the officer or others. If there isn't that threat, using deadly force to detain the suspect would be unconstitutional under the Fourth Amendment. The other amendments address different rights—First Amendment covers speech, religion, and petition; Sixth covers rights in criminal prosecutions (like counsel and a speedy trial); Eighth covers cruel and unusual punishment—so they don't govern this particular rule about police seizures.

8. What does 'Dual Arrest' require law enforcement to ensure?

A. The legality of self-defense

B. The arrestee confessed to a crime

C. The presence of a weapon at scene

D. The victim was uninjured

When police respond to a domestic disturbance, they must verify whether a self-defense claim is legally valid before deciding who to arrest. This ensures that someone acting to protect themselves isn't unjustly charged, which helps prevent dual arrests where both parties might be detained. The assessment focuses on whether the defender reasonably believed they faced imminent harm and used a proportional amount of force in that moment, supported by the circumstances and evidence. If the self-defense claim is credible and well-supported, it guides the officer to apply the law correctly rather than defaulting to arresting both parties. The other factors—whether a weapon was present, whether the arrestee confessed, or whether the victim was uninjured—do not by themselves determine the legality of a self-defense claim.

SAMPLE

9. Aristotle is described as the philosopher who introduced ethos as one of the three modes of persuasion.

A. A Greek general

B. The author of The Prince

C. The father of modern science

D. The philosopher who introduced the concept of ethos as one of the three modes of persuasion in rhetoric

Ethos is about the speaker's character and credibility, a crucial factor Aristotle identified for persuading an audience. He taught that credibility—showing competence, good will, and ethical character—helps people trust and accept the argument even before it's made. This idea sits alongside logos (logic) and pathos (emotion) as the three ways to persuade, with Aristotle arguing that audiences are more receptive when they believe the speaker is knowledgeable and has their best interests at heart. The other descriptions don't capture how Aristotle formalized this rhetorical framework, whereas the philosopher who introduced ethos in rhetoric did so as part of his broader treatment of persuasive communication.

10. The 'Dust 'em off rule' serves to enhance what?

A. Transparency

B. Legitimacy

C. Accountability

D. Efficiency

The main concept here is how post-action procedures that require careful review and documenting actions reinforce the public's perception that police authority is legitimate. When officers know their actions will be checked against policy and legal standards, and when those actions are transparently reviewed, it signals that the department operates under rules rather than arbitrary decisions. This consistency and restraint help the community view the police as legitimate guardians of the law, which in turn fosters trust, cooperation, and broader acceptance of law enforcement. While transparency and accountability are related ideas, the emphasis of this rule is on building legitimacy—ensuring the department's authority is seen as rightful and justified because actions are governed by clear standards and subjected to review. Efficiency is not the focus here, and the primary impact is not simply making information available or speeding processes, but conveying that the actions are proper and grounded in norms that the public can trust.

SAMPLE

Next Steps

Congratulations on reaching the final section of this guide. You've taken a meaningful step toward passing your certification exam and advancing your career.

As you continue preparing, remember that consistent practice, review, and self-reflection are key to success. Make time to revisit difficult topics, simulate exam conditions, and track your progress along the way.

If you need help, have suggestions, or want to share feedback, we'd love to hear from you. Reach out to our team at hello@examzify.com.

Or visit your dedicated course page for more study tools and resources:

https://tletaweek2.examzify.com

We wish you the very best on your exam journey. You've got this!

SAMPLE